

---

**From:** konia808@gmail.com on behalf of Antoinette Freitas  
**To:** Kehau Abad; Miyamoto, Faith  
**CC:** halealoha@wave.hicv.net; keolal@oha.org; Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Leland Chang; Spencer Leineweber; Spurgeon, Lawrence; mmcdermott@culturalsurveys.com; Hinaleimoana Falemei; Kawika McKeague; Ted.Matley@dot.gov; Souki, Jesse K.  
**Sent:** 9/29/2009 7:34:58 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Honolulu Rail Section 106 PA Task Force

Aloha,  
Thanks for keeping me up dated via email on the working group meeting. If there is a meeting this Thursday, at 10am, I'm available to attend.

Please confirm the date, time and place.

thanks,

Konia Freitas

---

This email and any attachments to it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you must neither take any action based upon its contents, nor copy or show it to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error.

---

On Mon, Sep 28, 2009 at 7:43 PM, Kehau Abad <[keabad@ksbe.edu](mailto:keabad@ksbe.edu)> wrote:

Aloha no kakou,

Looking forward to continuing our discussion with everyone this Thurs at 10:00.

In order for folks like me to better understand some of the processes (and hopefully to expedite some of the discussion for Thurs), I thought I'd pose a question here. I'm wondering why a possible change in the alignment or technology (light vs. heavy rail) would necessitate a "start from scratch" result, as Steve mentioned today. Would the alternatives that were included in the DEIS for the NEPA analysis be open now as viable options? In other words, is there anything that would preclude us from falling back on any of the other alternatives that were reviewed in the DEIS to avoid a "start from scratch," "loss of federal funding," "loss of three years" result (as had been mentioned)? I'm not sure why only one of the alternatives mentioned in the DEIS offers forward progress and why the other alternatives that were considered in the same document would create a "start from scratch" outcome, if now pursued.

Sorry, I know that was a long-winded question, but I'm hoping better minds might be able to offer a clearer and more succinct answer.

Me ke aloha a me ka ha'aha'a,  
Kehau

---

**From:** Miyamoto, Faith [mailto:[fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov](mailto:fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov)]

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 22, 2009 4:08 PM

**To:** Kehau Abad; [halealoha@wave.hicv.net](mailto:halealoha@wave.hicv.net); [antoinet@hawaii.edu](mailto:antoinet@hawaii.edu); [keolal@oha.org](mailto:keolal@oha.org); [Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov](mailto:Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov); Leland Chang; Spencer Leineweber; Spurgeon, Lawrence; [mmcdermott@culturalsurveys.com](mailto:mmcdermott@culturalsurveys.com)

**Cc:** [Ted.Matley@dot.gov](mailto:Ted.Matley@dot.gov); Souki, Jesse K.

**Subject:** Honolulu Rail Section 106 PA Task Force

Hi Everyone –

Please let me know if you are available for a meeting:

Wednesday, September 23, 1:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.

Thursday, September 24, 1:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.

Leland will be available to facilitate a meeting at these times. The other alternative would be to meet after tomorrow morning's meeting, but Leland will not be available.

Faith Miyamoto  
Department of Transportation Services  
City & County of Honolulu  
(808) 768-8350  
[fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov](mailto:fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov)