
From: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov
To: Miyamoto, Faith
CC: Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov; Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov
Sent: 11/1/2010 11:34:45 AM
Subject: Language from the SHPO
Attachments: FINAL CUMULATIVE EFFECTS_Two Historic Districts 11-1-2010.doc; PA Draft Nov. 1 2010.docx

Faith,

Attached are my comments on the programmatic agreement language the SHPO proposed. Please have your historic preservation consultant review. One of the concerns that I had with the proposed language is that it creates another process for resolving adverse effects that may conflict or be confusing with what is described in I.G.10 and XII and Appendix A. Perhaps that is fine to have separate processes. I am not feeling very creative this afternoon to come up with a better approach. Regardless, I think that the language should be clarified about what process resolves unanticipated direct and indirect effects to historic buildings (touched on in I.G.10 and XII and appendix A) versus unanticipated archeological effects (touched on in stipulation XII) and unanticipated present and future actions, in conjunction with the Project and other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions, that could have cumulative effects on the historic districts (in stipulation I.G.10).

Once your historic preservation consultant has reviewed and provided edits, I will ask that our counsel reviews the revised PA before sending a revised draft out to the signatories.

Thank you!

Liz

Elizabeth Zelasko
Federal Transit Administration
1200 New Jersey Ave. SE s E45-340 s Washington, DC 20590
elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov s (202) 366-0244