
Section 6 Summary of Consultation

6.1 Consultation for the AISP

In accordance with Stipulation III of the project Programmatic Agreement (PA), finalized on January 18, 2011, CSH, the City, and the City's representatives have pursued consultation with a range of state agencies, Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), and lineal and cultural descendants in order to receive input on the scope of the work and design of the Airport Section 3 AIS. These consultation results are outlined in the Airport Section 3 AISP (Hammatt and Shideler 2011) and described below.

On June 1 and June 3, 2011, consultation emails seeking archaeological, cultural, and historic information about the Airport Section 3 study area and the vicinity, as well as a request for potential consultation contacts, were sent to the following state agencies and Native Hawaiian Organizations (see Appendix D):

1. Office of Hawaiian Affairs
2. O'ahu Island Burial Council
3. SHPD/DLNR
4. Hui Mālama I Nā Kūpuna O Hawai'i Nei

Consultation letters were also mailed simultaneously via post to the above organizations. CSH received a consultation response letter from OHA dated June 30, 2011 acknowledging receipt of the letter, expressing the request for test excavations as close to areas of proposed ground disturbance as possible, and stating that no consultation referrals were offered at this time (see description below) (see Appendix D).

A consultation response letter was also drafted by the SHPD on August 11, 2011 offering several suggestions for consultation outreach (see Appendix D). SHPD's acceptance letter for the AISP (Hammatt and Shideler 2011) was dated December 2, 2011 (Log No. 2011.2167, Doc No 1211NN01).

Additionally, CSH investigated whether any NHOs specifically associated with the *ahupua'a* of Hālawā or Moanalua could be identified. No Hawaiian civic clubs or other Native Hawaiian organizations were identified in this area.

On June 8, 2011, CSH attended the OIBC general meeting at the Kalanimoku Building in order to present updates for all four construction sections of the HHCTCP. Regarding Construction Section 3, Matt McDermott of CSH provided the OIBC members with handouts depicting the project corridor route and transit stations for Section 3 and requested any consultation outreach recommendations. The OIBC acknowledged receipt of the consultation letter but did not have any outreach recommendations for Construction Section 3 at that time.

During the June 8, 2011 OIBC general meeting, two individuals, Ms. Amelia Gora and Ms. Kawehi Kanu'i, presented their genealogical connection to the *ahupua'a* of Hālawā as part of the public testimony. Pursuant to the consultation effort for Construction Section 3, CSH emailed consultation letters on June 13, 2011 to both individuals, seeking archaeological, cultural, and historic information about the study area and vicinity (see Appendix D). CSH received several

response emails on June 13, 18, 19, and 20, 2011. In her email response, Ms. Gora provided detailed information and attachments regarding sovereignty and land acquisition issues concerning Hālawā Ahupuaʻa and Pearl Harbor. However, she declined to disclose any cultural or other historic knowledge. Ms. Kanuʻi expressed her opposition to the HHCTCP as well as addressing sovereignty and land ownership issues. As a descendent of the area along the wider rail route and Hālawā, she expressed concern for the disturbance of *iwi kūpuna* and stated a claim to all bones within the area.

Additionally, on June 29 and 30, 2011, CSH corresponded with Keola Lindsey of the OHA regarding the Section 3 Airport AISP. Mr. Lindsey placed a telephone voicemail message on June 29th 2011 to Matt McDermott of CSH requesting further information on the Airport AIS. Mr. McDermott emailed a reply on June 29th, attaching several figures depicting the proposed test excavation locations for the Airport route in relation to documented LCAs, and two nineteenth century maps with an overlay of the Airport route. He explained the comparable sampling strategies of Section 2 and Section 3 of the HHCTCP and the reasons that the Airport Section 3 was considered the least archaeologically sensitive of the four project sections. Mr. McDermott also offered to meet with Mr. Lindsey to discuss the draft AISP for the Airport. On June 30th, Mr. Lindsey replied via email that his questions had been answered and that there would be no need for a specific meeting.

In OHA's June 30th 2011 consultation response letter (see above), OHA acknowledged the detailed email response provided by CSH and stated that the figures provided were very helpful. In the letter OHA recognized the difficulties posed by such a heavily developed study area and requested that, to the extent possible, CSH conduct the archaeological investigations within areas which will be subject to ground disturbing activities.

6.2 Consultation for the AIS

No cultural resources appropriate for detailed Native Hawaiian cultural consultation (for example, cultural resources potentially significant under criterion E of the Hawaii Register of Historic Places—refer to Section 10 of this report) have been identified in the course of the AIS for the Airport Section of the HHCTCP. Extensive on-going outreach consultation efforts associated with finds in the HHCTCP City Center (Section 4) AIS have included periodic updates of the status of excavations and finds in the Airport Section.

During the Airport Section 3 AIS fieldwork, and subsequently during the preparation of this AIS report, CSH consulted frequently with the OIBC and SHPD throughout late 2012 and early 2013 regarding the progress and results of the AIS investigation. Presentations to the OIBC at their monthly August, September, October, November, and December 2012, and January and February 2013, meetings included updates on the Airport Section 3 AIS results and the status of AIS report preparation. During this same time period (later 2012 and early 2013) CSH met twice monthly with SHPD to discuss the progress and results of the AIS investigations for Airport and the City Center HHCTCP construction sections. During these discussions in early 2013, and in follow up emails, the significance of identified archaeological cultural resources was discussed, along with project effect and mitigation measure recommendations for the Airport Section 3 AIS report.

On February 20th 2013, CSH and the City met with OHA and updated their archaeological and cultural staff (Ms. Lauren Morawski, Mr. Kai Markell, and Mr. Jerry Norris) on the Airport

Section 3 AIS results. During this OHA consultation meeting, CSH staff described the archaeological cultural resources documented, along with their significance and proposed mitigation measures. Additionally, CSH presented updates of the Airport Section 3 AIS investigation at several public meetings (November 8th and 27th, December 17th, 2012, and February 7th, 2013) arranged to consult with potential lineal or cultural descendants to the HHCTCP project.